National Defense under Welfare State. Political Economy of Defense and Security: priorities, budget, and legal framework

Dr. Moshe Yanovskiy, Dmitry Cherny, Ilia Zatcovetsky. Draft version February, 14, 2023

The course principal issue and objectives:

- Why do modern democratic (welfare state) countries often underperform in defense and security? How do they perform compared to authoritarian regimes and to taxpayers' democracy?
- The primary objectives include providing students with essential historical knowledge for analyzing military expenditures, military justice, and the state of military bureaucracy in contrast to welfare state bureaucracy. Additionally, the course aims to enhance students' awareness of problems unique to authoritarian regimes, such as the lack of feedback and objective information, as well as a dictator's fear of a successful military leader as a potential challenger.
- Analytic skills and data sources for comparative and country case studies will be imparted to the students.

The course description

Course Timeframe: 12 hours (lectures only - w/o seminars and discussions and w/o test). Course Prerequisites: School general History course, ability to read scientific articles in English. An introductory course in Economics is advantageous.

Understanding authoritarian policymaking is of utmost importance as the wars most pertinent to today's study have erupted as assaults by authoritarian states on democratic rivals. Delving into this issue necessitates an understanding of numerous historical events and examples, surpassing the knowledge and comprehension level of a vast majority of students. Therefore, a detailed analysis of authoritarian military politics must be reserved for a dedicated and separate study course.

#	Description of the course components	references	
1	Basic assumptions: - Rationality of elected	Public Choice theory (see, for	
	politicians, civil and military bureaucrats, public	example Public Choice III^2 ed.	
	media, and voters. Bureaucrats' interest in maximizing the budget of	By Dennis C. Mueller, 2003	
		Cambridge University Press)	
		Public Economy (Public Finance)	
	the respective bureau and discretionary power.	see for example Hillman, 2009 ³	

² Mueller Dennis C. Public Choice III 2003 Cambridge University Press, 2003

³ Hillman Arye L. Public Finance and Public Policy. Responsibility and Limitations of Government Cambridge University Press, 2009

#	Description of the course components	references
	Elected politicians aiming to maximize the	Niskanen, 1971 ⁴
	number of re-elections and tenure in office	Jasay, 1985 ⁵ (Bureaucrats)
	Authoritarian leaders fearing potential	De Mesquita, 2008 ⁶ (voters &
	challenges and being concerned about public	politicians).
	criticism of their regime.	Samuelson, 1954 ⁷
	Who personally benefit from strong deterrence ¹	Hillman, 2009 pp. 139, 169-173
	effect caused by national Army's military actions	Smith V. (on voluntary supply of
	in democratic countries in short run?	defense & security possibility) ⁸
	Risks for dictator implied by the presence of	
	successful general revered by army and respected	
	by society.	
	Public goods concept. Pure and mixed public	
	goods. Bureaucrats' aims and incentives models.	
	From the implicit assumption on benevolent,	
	devoted, enlightened and fully informed public	
	servants to Public Choice approach: budget of the	
	bureau maximization and power (discretionary	
	power) seeking.	
2	Deterrence and Power. The goal is deterrence, and	Huntington, 1999 ⁹
	the mean is power.	Friedman Milton Cooperation
	Balanced power. Huntington's "domains of	between Capital-rich and Labor
	power :"	rich Countries ¹⁰
	Economic, military, diplomatic, ideological,	
	technological, and cultural. Ideological/cultural	
	cause resolution to use deadly force if necessary.	

¹ Modern attempt to reinterpret 'Deterrence': Mazarr Michael J. 2018 <u>https://doi.org/10.7249/PE295</u>

⁴ Niskanen, William A., 1971, Bureaucracy and representative government Transaction Books

⁵ Jasay Anthony, 1985, *The State* Indianapolis: Liberty Fund, 1998 <u>http://oll.libertyfund.org/titles/jasay-the-state</u>

⁶ de Mesquita, Bueno Ethan Politics and Suboptimal provision of Counterterror 2008 International Organization 61, Winter 2007, pp. 9–36

⁷ Samuelson Paul A., "The Pure Theory of Public Expenditure," Review of Economics and Statistics 36 (November 1954): 387-89;

⁸ Smith Vernon L. Experiments with a Decentralized Mechanism for Public Good Decisions The American Economic Review, Vol. 70, No. 4 (Sep., 1980), pp. 584-599

⁹ Huntington Samuel P. The Lonely Superpower. Foreign Affairs, Vol. 78, No. 2 (Mar. - Apr., 1999), pp. 35-49 <u>https://doi.org/10.2307/20049207</u> ¹⁰ <u>http://fff.org/explore-freedom/article/cooperation-capitalrich-laborrich-countries-part-1/</u>

#	Description of the course components	references
	Cases of authoritarian, taxpayers' democracy, and	R.Cobden speech July 22 1864 ¹¹
	welfare state democracy for selected "domains"	on Government manufacturing
	Economic and military power changes caused by	establishment.
	the growing welfare state. Supply chains' problem	The Sopwith Aviation Company
	and the market economy.	story – war on "excess profit"
	Erosion of Industrial leadership of the West and the	during WWI in UK.
	challenge of resilience in the Army supply	
	(weapons, ammunition, means of communication,	
	etc.). Principal reasons behind the industrial decay.	
	How to avoid both - autarky which causes	
	backwardness and dependence on of foreign	US Aid for Israel – A Historical
	governments' favor at the height of the war.	Overview ¹²
	History of two de-industrializations UK & US -	
	searching for reasons behind: that to blame - Big	
	business' greed or Big Government thirst for	
	power?	
	Problems of Authoritarian regime with the	
	economy (poor property rights protection; extreme	
	case – socialist centralized economy) and	
	innovations.	
3	Butter vs. Guns. Assessing how to measure the	Hillman, 2009, Holcombe 2008 ¹³ ,
	government's real choices and priorities.	Hoppe, 1999 ¹⁴ ; Hoppe, 2003;
	Exploring the principal choices of taxpayers'	See also the review at Yanovskiy,
	democracy, authoritarian regimes, and welfare-	Zatcovetsky 2017 (including
	state democracies.	Supplementary materials) ¹⁵

¹¹ <u>https://api.parliament.uk/historic-hansard/commons/1864/jul/22/government-manufacturing-establishments</u>

¹⁴Hoppe Hans-Hermann Private production of Defense Essays in Political Economy Mises Institute May, 15 1999 <u>https://mises.org/library/private-production-defense-0</u>; Hoppe Hans-Hermann The Myth of National Defense: Essays on the Theory and History of Security Production 2003 <u>https://mises.org/library/myth-national-defense-essays-theory-and-history-security-production</u>

¹² <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2403748</u>

¹³ Holcombe Randall G. Why Does Government Produce National Defense? Public Choice, Vol. 137, No. 1/2 (Oct., 2008), pp. 11-19

¹⁵ Yanovskiy Moshe, Zatcovetsky Ilia "How Butter beats the Guns" *Defence & Strategy*, 2017 Volume 17, Number 1 (June 2017) pp.141-154 doi:10.3849/1802-7199.17.2017.01.141-154; http://www.obranaastrategie.cz/en/archive/volume-2017/1-2017/articles/how-butter-beats-the-guns.html

#	Description of the course components	references
4	 Historical review of the costs of defending democracy. Examining various ways of taxing for defense spending. 	Yanovskiy, Zatcovetsky 2017 review (first and foremost see Barro, 1987 ¹⁶). Kreps, 2018 ¹⁷ : Does honest taxation 'pays back'?
5	 Analyzing the factors behind the surge in social spending. Identifying old and new factors contributing to the rise in public debt. Addressing the dictator's dilemma: choosing between investing in more military strength to protect the regime against revolt or engaging in "generous" welfare spending to bribe the public. 	Yanovskiy, Zhavoronkov, Shestakov, 2013 ¹⁸
6	How butter beats the Guns: Universal Suffrage, -> Lefts parties as a new mainstream -> social (welfare) spending and Governmental regulations expansion	Yanovskiy, Zatcovetsky 2017 Yanovskiy, Zhavoronkov, 2018 ¹⁹ , British case before WWII – Smith Peter ²⁰
7	Evaluating Success and Failure in Social Spending Impact vs. Military Spending Outcomes: The Emergence of Modern Military Justice and Private	Yanovskiy, Zatcovetsky 2017 Eloranta, 2004 ²¹ ; Cardoso Jose & Lains Pedro (ed.) ²² , 2010;

¹⁶ Barro Robert J. "Government Spending, Interest rates, prices and budget Deficit in the United Kingdom, 1701-1918" *Journal of Monetary Economics* 20 (1987) 221-247.

¹⁷ Kreps Sarah. *Taxing Wars. The American Way of War Finance and the Decline of Democracy*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2018.

¹⁸ Yanovskiy, Zhavoronkov, Rodionov Political Factors Behind Cuts and Surges in Government Spending: The Effects on Old Market Democracies and Post-Communist Countries" Problems of economic Transition, vol. 59, no. 4, 2017, pp. 294-320 2017, doi: 10.1080/10611991.2017.1321418; see also Democracy of 'Taxation-Redistribution' and Peacetime Budget Deficit (December 14, 2013). Gaidar Institute for Economic Policy Working Papers 0078. http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2367861

¹⁹ Yanovskiy Moshe, Zhavoronkov Sergei. (2018) Universal Suffrage: The Century of Corrupting Incentives? New Perspectives on Political Economy Vol. 14, No 1-2, 2018, pp. 63-89. Available at SSRN: <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3436438</u>.

²⁰ Smith Peter C. "The Great Ships Pass: British Battleships at War 1939-1945" Cerberus Publishing, 2006
²¹Eloranta Jari "Warfare and Welfare? Understanding 19th and 20th Century Central Government Spending" Warwick Economic Research paper #699, 2004 Department of Economics, The University of Warwick http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/1489/

²² Paying for the Liberal State. The rising of Public Finance in Nineteen-Century Europe Cambridge University Press, 2010

#	Description of the course components	references
	Military and Security Companies (PMSC).	Yanovskiy, Zhavoronkov,
	Temporary solution?	Rodionov 2017 ²³
8	How military success has been valued and paid off	Keiler, 2009 ²⁴ , Fletcher,
	by the Government since Universal Suffrage	2010 ²⁵ , Yanovskiy, Zatcovetsky
	establishment; the challenge of gifted military	2017;
	leaders. Rising of the modern military Justice.	Israel case: Yanovskiy,
	Why does hostility towards military success come	Zatcovetsky, Ginker 2015 ²⁶ ;
	together with hostility towards business success	2018 ²⁷
	(changes in Government structure, political	
	demand for business regulation; "social"	
	bureaucrats' incentives.	
9	Diminishing Willingness of Society to fight – the	Welfare state clients' moral;
	factors (since France, Belgium, 1940). Welfare	Family and Civil Society decline
	state: one-shot or finitely repeated game vs.	(Eberstadt, 2013 ²⁸); Kreps, 2018;
	infinitely repeated games ('In long run we all are	de Tocqueville on family and
	dead.' Are we?)	society.
10	Israel country case: evolving priority of Israeli	Zatcovetsky et al., 2014 ²⁹
	Mainstream Lefts. Security strategy's changes and	'Evolving Priorities of Israeli
	dynamics of terror's casualties.	Left: from welfare to Security and
	Incentives causing October 7 2023 disaster.	back'
	Political economy of military Alliances. Value of	
	ally for unelected decision-makers (diplomats,	US Aid for Israel – A Historical
	military bureaucrats etc) [predictability, readiness	Overview.
	to comply negotiated decisions] vs. value of ally	

²³ Yanovskiy, Zhavoronkov, Rodionov Political Factors Behind Cuts and Surges in Government Spending: The Effects on Old Market Democracies and Post-Communist Countries" Problems of economic Transition, vol. 59, no. 4, 2017, pp. 294-320 2017.

²⁴ Keiler J. F. "The End of Proportionality" http://www.carlisle.army.mil/usawc/parameters/Articles/09spring/keiler.pdf

²⁵ Fletcher G.P. "The Law of War and Its Pathologies" Working paper in preparation to Herzliya conference 2010, IDC, 2010 http://www.herzliyaconference.org/ Uploads/3050LawWarPathologies.pdf ²⁶ https://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2425418

²⁷ To Kill Hope? In Search of a Reliable Strategy to Fight Terrorism Jewish Political Studies Review, Volume 29, Numbers 1–2 2018 https://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2745935 or https://www.jstor.org/stable/e26500668

 ²⁸ Eberstadt Mary. *How the West really lost God*. Conshohocken, PA, Templeton Press, 2013
 ²⁹ <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2425418</u> The first version of the report has been prepared for the 2012 Miami Public Choice Society Annual Conference

#	Description of the course components	references
	for voters [capacity to deter mutual enemy] – case	
	Israel-US, sheds light on similar cases globally.	
	Free-riding allies. 2% of GDP, NATO countries,	Hillman Arye L. (2009) Public
	Canada, Germany.	Finance and Public Policy ³⁰
11.	National Security: fighting terrorism and the	London, 2005 ³¹ ; Yanovskiy,
	carrot instead of the stick. Why deterrence idea	Zatcovetsky, Ginker,
	has been fallen from grace?	Zhavoronkov 2018 ³² ; Yanovskiy,
		Zatcovetsky 2017;
12.	Lessons from the Ukraine War: Preliminary	https://ukraine.liveuamap.com/
	Insights and Economic Weakness, Society's	Yanovskiy, Socol. Probability of
	Readiness to Fight, and Prerequisites for Foreign	development of nuclear weapons by
	Military Assistance (Comparing Ukraine and	Ukraine. Defence & Strategy Vol.
	Israel). Both cases (assaults on Ukraine and	23, No 1 (June 2023)
	Israel) are important for further analysis of	https://doi.org/10.3849/1802-
	authoritarian military politics in framework of	7199.23.2023.01.092-108
	dedicated study course.	

Essays themes examples

- Factors influencing the choice of umbrella-giving, umbrella-taking, or neutrality status.
- Aumann's interpretation of war, peace, negotiations, and ransom payments; rationalizing "irrational" behavior of democratically elected leaders paying ransoms.
- The inclusion of girls in combat units as a political decision-making example (considering incentives for military bureaucrats, commanders, and conscripted/volunteered girls).
- Discrimination in access to combat units' participation: pros and cons.
- Ratification of the 1977 Protocol: principal factors behind the decision-making process.

³⁰ Hillman A. Public Finance and Public Policy. Responsibilities and limitations of Government. NY: Cambridge University Press, 2009.

³¹ London, Joshua. Victory in Tripoli: How America's War with the Barbary Pirates Established the U.S. Navy and Shaped a Nation Turner Publishing Co.. 2005

³²Yanovskiy, Ginker, Zhavoronkov, Sergei and Zatcovetsky, Ilia, To Kill Hope? In Search of a Reliable Strategy to Fight Terrorism. *Jewish Political Studies Review* Volume 29, Numbers 1–2 (2018) pp. 27-57 <u>https://www.jstor.org/stable/e26500668</u> or – free access: <u>https://jcpa.org/article/to-kill-hope-in-search-of-a-reliable-strategy-to-fight-terrorism/</u>

- The eagerness of military justice in the US³³ and Israel to apply unratified norms of the Protocol³⁴.
- Japan's WWII military failures: unique and universal aspects for nondemocratic countries (e.g., hunger among soldiers on islands).
- The potential and real challenges posed by successful military leaders to authoritarian rulers, spanning from ancient times to the present, with a comparison to US history.
- The issue of broken feedback during wartime.
- The history of the adoption of the M-16 assault rifle by the US Army.
- Ukrainian War and NATO munition shortages (155-mm³⁵ & more³⁶) and principle reasons behind the supply failure.

The learning outcomes:

Upon completing the course, students are expected to:

- Differentiate between pure and mixed public goods.
- Possess foundational historical knowledge of how democracies covered military spending during war and peacetime, understanding the typical military burden, including repayment of military debts.
- Provide relevant specific democratic country stories explaining how institutional changes between WWI and WWII, particularly the consequences of universal suffrage implementation, shaped modern approaches to defense and mixed public goods provision.
- Outline post-WWII developments since the end of the Cold War, encompassing the period from 1990 to 2001 and the latest developments.
- Explain the incentives of principal groups, including voters (taxpayers and taxspenders), civil and military bureaucrats, and elected politicians.
- Understand the distinctions between the original 1949 IV Geneva Convention³⁷ and the amended June 8, 1977 Protocol 1³⁸ version regarding the responsibility for civilians' lives.

 ³³ Law of Land Warfare Manual, FM 27-10, Chapter 2, Paragraph 41; modern 2015 version: https://www.defense.gov/Portals/1/Documents/pubs/Law-of-War-Manual-June-2015.pdf p. 61
 ³⁴ https://ihl-databases.icrc.org/ihl/INTRO/470

³⁵ https://www.defensenews.com/land/2023/10/06/us-army-awards-15b-to-boost-global-production-ofartillery-rounds/; https://www.reuters.com/business/aerospace-defense/us-army-says-it-needs-3-billion-155-mm-artillery-rounds-production-2023-11-07/

³⁶ <u>https://www.csis.org/analysis/rebuilding-us-inventories-six-critical-systems</u>

³⁷ https://ihl-databases.icrc.org/ihl/INTRO/380

³⁸ <u>https://ihl-databases.icrc.org/ihl/INTRO/470</u> see Article 51, para 4 and 5b

• Articulate how the deterrence effect saves money for taxpayers and protects the lives of all people in the country.